

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

LVDG SERIES 107, established under
LVDG, a Nevada series limited liability
company,

Case No. 3:13-cv-00463-MMD-VPC

AMENDED ORDER

Plaintiff,

v.

MAGGIE BARTON, individually and as
trustee of the GOMEZ FAMILY TRUST
u/t/d/ June 25, 1996, et al.,

Defendants.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction Enjoining Foreclosure Sale Scheduled on March 5, 2014.¹ (Dkt. nos. 43 and 44.) Defendant Wells Fargo Home Mortgage filed a response (dkt. nos. 46 and 47) and Defendant Quality Loan Service Corporation joined (dkt. no. 48). Plaintiff's emergency motion is denied as it has, in effect, already been addressed by the Court.

Defendant removed this case on August 28, 2013. (Dkt. no. 1.) Attached to Defendant's petition for removal was Plaintiff's application for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction ("First Application") to enjoin the foreclosure sale of the

¹The Court notes that the notice of trustee's sale setting the March 5, 2014, sale date was recorded on January 31, 2014. (Dkt. no. 43 at 3.) The Court finds it suspect that Plaintiff waited until three days before the scheduled sale to move for a TRO and preliminary injunction on an emergency basis.

1 property. (Dkt. no. 1-4.) Also attached was a TRO issued by the Second Judicial District
2 Court of the State of Nevada, Washoe County, on August 21, 2013, which set a hearing
3 date in that court for August 29, 2013. (Dkt. no. 1-5.) After removal, the Court set a
4 briefing schedule for responses and replies to the First Application, and scheduled a
5 hearing for September 11, 2013, to address the First Application. (Dkt. no. 2.) The
6 hearing date was continued to September 18, 2013, in order to also address Plaintiff's
7 motion to remand. (Dkt. no. 16.)

8 At the September 18, 2013, hearing, the Court made findings on the record and
9 denied Plaintiff's First Application. (Dkt. no. 27.) The Court found that Plaintiff could not
10 show a reasonable likelihood of success on the merits because Plaintiff's acquisition of
11 the property at an HOA foreclosure sale was subject to the first deed of trust, with the
12 exception of a super priority to recover nine (9) months of HOA assessments. The Court
13 also found that Plaintiff did not demonstrate irreparable harm because a future
14 purchaser will acquire the deed of trust subject to the super priority portion of the HOA
15 lien.


16 At best Plaintiff appears to have forgotten that the September 18, 2013, hearing
17 took place. In its motion, Plaintiff references the First Application and the state court
18 hearing scheduled for August 29, 2013, which did not take place because of removal.
19 (Dkt. no. 43 at 3.) However, Plaintiff incorrectly states that "[t]his Court has not since
20 been asked to address the Plaintiff's request for a Preliminary Injunction." (*Id.*) In fact,
21 the Court addressed Plaintiff's First Application and denied it at the September 18, 2013,
22 hearing. (Dkt. no. 27.)

23 Plaintiff's motion reiterates the same arguments raised in Plaintiff's First
24 Application. Plaintiff argues, in contradiction to the Court's September 18, 2013,
25 decision, that the foreclosure of the property should be enjoined because the first deed
26 of trust was extinguished at the HOA foreclosure sale. This argument was made in
27 Plaintiff's First Application and rejected by the Court. Plaintiff also argues that the
28 Nevada Supreme Court has scheduled an oral argument for May 7, 2014, that will

1 address the effect of an HOA foreclosure sale on the first deed of trust, but, as stated,
2 the Court has already determined that the HOA foreclosure sale did not exterminate the
3 first deed of trust.

4 It is therefore ordered that Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for a Temporary
5 Restraining Order (dkt. no. 43) and Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction (dkt. no.
6 44) are denied.

7 DATED THIS 5th day of March 2014.

8 
9 _____
10 MIRANDA M. DU
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28